- 1	Case 2.24-07-02250-0D3-L31 D0001	Henr 20 Filed 03/03/23 Fage 1 01 1
1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3		
4	Selva Kumar,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02256-CDS-EJY
5	Plaintiff v.	Order Denying as Moot Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion to Compel Arbitration
7	Tata Consultancy Services Limited,	
8	Defendant	[ECF Nos. 9, 11]
9		
10	Plaintiff Selva Kumar filed a first amended complaint on February 5, 2025. ECF No. 13.	
11	Because an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint in its entirety, defendant Tata	
12	Consultancy Services Limited's motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion to compel	
13	arbitration [ECF No. 9] targeting the original pleading is deemed moot. Ramirez v. Cnty. of San	
14	Bernadino, 806 F.3d 1002, 1009 (9th Cir. 2015). Further, because Tata has filed a motion to compel	
15	arbitration (ECF No. 18), its motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, motion to compel	
16	arbitration [ECF No. 11] is also denied as moot.	
17	Dated: March 5, 2025	
18		
19	Cristina/D. Silva United States District Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	;	
26		